

STATISTICAL INFERENCE FOR WEIBULL TAIL-DISTRIBUTIONS

Stéphane Girard

SMS/LMC-IMAG, Université Grenoble 1, INRIA

Outline

1. Weibull tail-distributions.
2. Kernel estimators of the Weibull tail-coefficient.
3. Bias-reduced estimator of the Weibull tail-coefficient.
4. Estimation of extreme quantiles.
5. Simulation study.
6. Nidd river data.

1. Weibull tail-distributions.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with cumulative distribution function F such that

$$(A1) \quad 1 - F(x) = \exp(-H(x)), \quad H^{-1}(t) = \inf\{x, H(x) \geq t\} = t^\theta \ell(t),$$

where

- $\theta > 0$ is the Weibull tail-coefficient,
- ℓ is a slowly varying function *i.e.*

$$\ell(\lambda x)/\ell(x) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } \lambda > 0.$$

The inverse failure rate function H^{-1} is said to be regularly varying at infinity with index θ and this property is denoted by $H^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}_\theta$.

In the following, we also assume a second order condition on ℓ :

(A2) There exist $\rho \leq 0$ and $b(x) \rightarrow 0$ such that uniformly locally on $\lambda \geq 1$

$$\log \left(\frac{\ell(\lambda x)}{\ell(x)} \right) \sim b(x) K_\rho(\lambda), \text{ when } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

with

$$K_\rho(\lambda) = \int_1^\lambda u^{\rho-1} du.$$

It can be shown that necessarily $|b| \in \mathcal{R}_\rho$. The second order parameter $\rho \leq 0$ tunes the rate of convergence of $\ell(\lambda x)/\ell(x)$ to 1. The closer ρ is to 0, the slower is the convergence.

Examples:

	θ	$\ell(x)$	$b(x)$	ρ
Absolute Gaussian $ \mathcal{N} (\mu, \sigma^2)$	$1/2$	$2^{1/2}\sigma - \frac{\sigma}{2^{3/2}}\frac{\log x}{x} + O(1/x)$	$\frac{1}{4}\frac{\log x}{x}$	-1
Gamma $\Gamma(\alpha \neq 1, \beta)$	1	$\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\beta}\frac{\log x}{x} + O(1/x)$	$(1 - \alpha)\frac{\log x}{x}$	-1
Weibull $\mathcal{W}(\alpha, \lambda)$	$1/\alpha$	λ	0	$-\infty$

Related work: Berred (1991), Broniatowski (1993), Beirlant, Broniatowski, Teugels, Vynckier, (1995), Beirlant, Bouquiaux, Werker (2006).

2. Kernel estimators of the Weibull tail-coefficient.

Principle: Our approach is based on the following approximation. Denoting by $q(t)$ the quantile function

$$q(t) = F^{-1}(1-t) = H^{-1}(\log(1/t)) = (\log(1/t))^\theta \ell(\log(1/t)),$$

we obtain for t and s small:

$$\begin{aligned} \log(q(t)) - \log(q(s)) &= \theta(\log_2(1/t) - \log_2(1/s)) + \log\left(\frac{\ell(\log(1/t))}{\ell(\log(1/s))}\right) \\ &\simeq \theta(\log_2(1/t) - \log_2(1/s)), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\log_2(x) = \log \log(x)$ and since $\ell \in \mathcal{R}_0$. Considering $t = i/n$, $s = k_n/n$ and replacing F by its empirical counterpart yield

$$\log(X_{n-i+1,n}) - \log(X_{n-k_n+1,n}) \simeq \theta(\log_2(n/i) - \log_2(n/k_n)),$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k_n - 1$ and where k_n is an intermediate sequence.

Our method: Estimation via linear combination of upper order statistics.

$$\hat{\theta}_n(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} \alpha_{i,n} (\log(X_{n-i+1,n}) - \log(X_{n-k_n+1,n})) \Bigg/ \sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} \alpha_{i,n} (\log_2(n/i) - \log_2(n/k_n)),$$

where

- $\alpha_{i,n} = W(i/k_n) + \varepsilon_{i,n}$,
- $\varepsilon_{i,n}$, $i = 1, \dots, k_n - 1$ is a non-random sequence, and
- $W : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth score function, verifying

(A3) W has a continuous derivative W' on $(0, 1)$,

(A4) There exist $M > 0$, $0 \leq q < 1/2$ and $p < 1$ such that, for all $x \in (0, 1)$:

$$|W(x)| \leq Mx^{-q} \text{ and } |W'(x)| \leq Mx^{-p-q}.$$

Asymptotic normality: Suppose **(A1)**–**(A4)** hold. Then

$$k_n^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_n(\alpha) - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(\theta, W)),$$

for any sequence (k_n) satisfying $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$k_n^{1/2} \max\{b(\log(n/k_n)), 1/\log(n/k_n), \|\varepsilon\|_{n,\infty}\} \rightarrow 0,$$

where we have defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon\|_{n,\infty} &= \max_{i=1,\dots,k_n-1} |\varepsilon_{i,n}|, \\ \mu(W) &= \int_0^1 W(x) \log(1/x) dx, \\ \sigma^2(W) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 W(x)W(y) \frac{\min(x,y)(1 - \max(x,y))}{xy} dx dy, \\ \sigma(\theta, W) &= \theta \frac{\sigma(W)}{\mu(W)}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 1. Constant weights $\alpha_{i,n} = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k_n - 1$ yield an existing estimator (Girard, 2004):

$$\hat{\theta}_n^G = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} (\log(X_{n-i+1,n}) - \log(X_{n-k_n+1,n}))}{\sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} (\log_2(n/i) - \log_2(n/k_n))}.$$

We found back the same limiting result: If **(A1)** and **(A2)** hold then


$$k_n^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_n^G - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \theta^2),$$

for any sequence (k_n) satisfying $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$k_n^{1/2} \max\{b(\log(n/k_n)), 1/\log(n/k_n)\} \rightarrow 0.$$

Example 2: A new estimator of the Weibull tail-coefficient based on a QQ-plot.

- Drawing the pairs $(\log_2(n/i), \log(X_{n-i+1,n}))$ for $i = 1, \dots, k_n$ gives a graph which is approximatively linear (with slope θ).
- Example : $|\mathcal{N}|(0, 1)$ distribution, $n = 500$, $k_n = 100$.



- $\hat{\theta}_n^Z$ is the least square estimator of θ based on the k_n largest observations:

$$\hat{\theta}_n^Z = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} (\log_2(n/i) - \tau_n) \log(X_{n-i+1,n})}{\sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} (\log_2(n/i) - \tau_n) \log_2(n/i)},$$

where

$$\tau_n = \frac{1}{k_n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} \log_2(n/i).$$

- Similar to the Zipf estimator for the extreme value index proposed by Kratz, Resnick (1996) and Schultze, Steinebach (1996).
 - Particular case of $\hat{\theta}_n(\alpha)$ with $W(x) = -(\log(x) + 1)$. Thus, under **(A1)** and **(A2)**,
- $$k_n^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_n^Z - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 2\theta^2),$$
- for any sequence (k_n) satisfying $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ and
- $$k_n^{1/2} \max\{b(\log(n/k_n)), \log^2(k_n)/\log(n/k_n)\} \rightarrow 0.$$

3. Bias-reduced estimator of the Weibull tail-coefficient.

Principle: We focus on the case where the convergence in **(A2)** is slow, *i.e.*

$$(A5) \quad x|b(x)| \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let us note that this condition implies $\rho \geq -1$. Gamma and (absolute) Gaussian distribution fulfill **(A5)** whereas Weibull distribution do not. Condition **(A2)** can be used to precise approximation **(1)**:

$$\log(q(t)) - \log(q(s)) = \theta(\log_2(1/t) - \log_2(1/s)) + b(\log(1/s))K_\rho \left(\frac{\log(1/t)}{\log(1/s)} \right) (1 + o(1)). \quad (2)$$

Exponential regression models:

- Define $Z_i = i \log(n/i) (\log X_{n-i+1,n} - \log X_{n-i,n})$, $i = 1, \dots, k_n$. Then, under **(A1)**, **(A2)**, **(A5)**,

$$\sup_{1 \leq i \leq k_n} \left| Z_i - \left(\theta + b(\log(n/k_n)) \left(\frac{\log(n/i)}{\log(n/k_n)} \right)^\rho \right) f_i \right| = o_{\mathbb{P}}(b(\log(n/k_n))), \quad (3)$$

for any sequence (k_n) such that $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\log k_n / \log n \rightarrow 0$, and where (f_1, \dots, f_{k_n}) is a vector of independent and standard exponentially distributed random variables.

- Similar to the ones proposed by Beirlant, Dierckx, Goegebeur, Matthys (1999), Feuerverger, Hall (1999) and Beirlant, Dierckx, Guillou, Starica (2002) in the case of Pareto-type distributions.
- One can plug the canonical choice $\rho = -1$ in the regression model (3) without perturbing the approximation so that

$$\sup_{1 \leq i \leq k_n} \left| Z_i - \left(\theta + b(\log(n/k_n)) \frac{\log(n/k_n)}{\log(n/i)} \right) f_i \right| = o_{\mathbb{P}}(b(\log(n/k_n))). \quad (4)$$

Application 1: Bias-reduced estimator. Estimation of θ and $b(\log(n/k_n))$ by a Least-Square method after substituting ρ with the value -1 :

$$\hat{\theta}_n^R = \bar{Z}_{k_n} - \hat{b}(\log(n/k_n))\bar{x}_{k_n}, \quad \hat{b}(\log(n/k_n)) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} (x_i - \bar{x}_{k_n}) Z_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} (x_i - \bar{x}_{k_n})^2}, \quad (5)$$

where $x_i = \log(n/k_n)/\log(n/i)$, $\bar{x}_{k_n} = \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} x_i$ and $\bar{Z}_{k_n} = \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} Z_i$.

Asymptotic normality under **(A1)**, **(A2)**, **(A5)**:

$$\frac{k_n^{1/2}}{\log(n/k_n)} (\hat{\theta}_n^R - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \theta^2)$$

for any sequence (k_n) such that $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$k_n^{1/2} b(\log(n/k_n)) / \log(n/k_n) \rightarrow \Lambda \neq 0.$$

Application 2: Adaptive selection of k_n . Adapted from Matthys, Beirlant (2003) in the context of extreme-value index estimation. Neglecting the bias correction in (5) yields the Maximum Likelihood estimator:

$$\hat{\theta}_n^{ML} = \bar{Z}_{k_n} = \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} i \log(n/i) (\log X_{n-i+1,n} - \log X_{n-i,n}).$$

From (4), the Asymptotic Mean Squared Error (AMSE) associated to $\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}$ is given by

$$AMSE(\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}) = \frac{\theta^2}{k_n} + \left(b(\log(n/k_n)) \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \frac{\log(n/k_n)}{\log(n/i)} \right)^2,$$

and can be estimated by

$$\widehat{AMSE}(\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}) = \frac{(\hat{\theta}_n^R)^2}{k_n} + \left(\hat{b}(\log(n/k_n)) \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \frac{\log(n/k_n)}{\log(n/i)} \right)^2.$$

The minimization of $\widehat{AMSE}(\hat{\theta}_n^{ML})$ with respect to k_n gives rise to an adaptive selection procedure.

4. Estimation of extreme quantiles.

Principle: An extreme quantile x_{p_n} of order $p_n < 1/n$ is defined by:

$$1 - F(x_{p_n}) = p_n.$$

Recall that, for small t and s

$$\frac{q(t)}{q(s)} = \frac{H^{-1}(\log(1/t))}{H^{-1}(\log(1/s))} = \left(\frac{\log(1/t)}{\log(1/s)} \right)^\theta \frac{\ell(\log(1/t))}{\ell(\log(1/s))} \simeq \left(\frac{\log(1/t)}{\log(1/s)} \right)^\theta.$$

Considering $t = p_n$, $s = k_n/n$, replacing F by its empirical counterpart and θ by an estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ yield the following estimator

$$\hat{x}_{p_n}(\hat{\theta}_n) = X_{n-k_n+1,n} \left(\frac{\log(1/p_n)}{\log(n/k_n)} \right)^{\hat{\theta}_n} = X_{n-k_n+1,n} \exp \left(\hat{\theta}_n \log \tau_n \right),$$

where we have defined $\tau_n = \log(1/p_n)/\log(n/k_n)$.

Asymptotic normality: Based on the following result (Gardes, Girard, 2005). Suppose **(A1)** and **(A2)** hold. If moreover

$$1 \leq \liminf \tau_n \leq \limsup \tau_n < \infty,$$

and

$$k_n^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2),$$

then

$$\frac{\log(n/k_n)k_n^{1/2}}{\log(k_n/(np_n))} \left(\frac{\hat{x}_{p_n}(\hat{\theta}_n)}{x_{p_n}} - 1 \right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2),$$

for any sequence (k_n) satisfying $k_n \rightarrow \infty$, $k_n/n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$k_n^{1/2}b(\log(n/k_n)) \rightarrow 0.$$

As a consequence, we obtain the asymptotic normality of $\hat{x}_{p_n}(\hat{\theta}_n^G)$, $\hat{x}_{p_n}(\hat{\theta}_n^Z)$ and $\hat{x}_{p_n}(\hat{\theta}_n^{\text{BBTV}})$ where

$$\hat{\theta}_n^{\text{BBTV}} = \frac{\log(n/k_n)}{X_{n-k_n+1,n} k_n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} (X_{n-i+1,n} - X_{n-k_n+1,n})$$

is the estimator introduced by Beirlant, Broniatowski, Teugels, Vinckier (1995).

Bias-reduced estimator: Basing on the refined approximation (2) of $\log q(t) - \log q(s)$, it is natural to introduce

$$\hat{x}_{p_n}^R = X_{n-k_n+1,n} \exp \left(\hat{\theta}_n^R \log \tau_n + \hat{b}(\log(n/k_n)) K_{-1}(\tau_n) \right).$$

Asymptotic normality under **(A1)**, **(A2)**, **(A5)**:

$$\frac{k_n^{1/2}}{\log(n/k_n)} \left(\frac{\hat{x}_{p_n}^R}{x_{p_n}} - 1 \right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(\Lambda \mu(\tau), \theta^2 \sigma^2(\tau))$$


for any sequence (k_n) such that $k_n \rightarrow \infty$, $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau > 1$ and


$$k_n^{1/2} b(\log(n/k_n)) / \log(n/k_n) \rightarrow \Lambda \neq 0,$$

where $\mu(\tau) = (K_{-1}(\tau) - K_\rho(\tau))$ and $\sigma^2(\tau) = (K_{-1}(\tau) - \log(\tau))^2$.


5. Simulation study.

- Comparison of $\hat{\theta}_n^G$ (in black), $\hat{\theta}_n^Z$ (in red), $\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}$ (in green) and $\hat{\theta}_n^R$ (in blue) to the true θ (black horizontal line).
- Simulated distributions : Absolute Gaussian $|\mathcal{N}|(0, 1)$, Gamma $\Gamma(0.25, 1)$, $\Gamma(4, 1)$, and Weibull $\mathcal{W}(4, 4)$, $\mathcal{W}(0.25, 0.25)$.
- Sample size $n = 500$, $k_n \in \{2, \dots, 360\}$, 100 replications.
- Computation of the mean estimate (Hill plot, left pannel) and of the Mean Square Error (MSE, right pannel).

$$\text{Gamma } \Gamma(0.25, 1) \longrightarrow \theta = 1, b(x) > 0$$

$$\hat{\theta}_n^G \text{ (in black)}, \hat{\theta}_n^Z \text{ (in red)}, \hat{\theta}_n^{ML} \text{ (in green)} \text{ and } \hat{\theta}_n^R \text{ (in blue)}$$


$\text{Gamma } \Gamma(4, 1) \longrightarrow \theta = 1, b(x) < 0$  $\hat{\theta}_n^G$ (in black), $\hat{\theta}_n^Z$ (in red), $\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}$ (in green) and $\hat{\theta}_n^R$ (in blue)

Absolute Gaussian $|\mathcal{N}|(0, 1) \longrightarrow \theta = 1/2, b(x) > 0$




$\hat{\theta}_n^G$ (in black), $\hat{\theta}_n^Z$ (in red), $\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}$ (in green) and $\hat{\theta}_n^R$ (in blue)

Weibull $\mathcal{W}(4, 4) \longrightarrow \theta = 0.25, b(x) = 0$



$\hat{\theta}_n^G$ (in black), $\hat{\theta}_n^Z$ (in red), $\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}$ (in green) and $\hat{\theta}_n^R$ (in blue)


$$\text{Weibull } \mathcal{W}(0.25, 0.25) \longrightarrow \theta = 4, b(x) = 0$$



$\hat{\theta}_n^G$ (in black), $\hat{\theta}_n^Z$ (in red), $\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}$ (in green) and $\hat{\theta}_n^R$ (in blue)

6. Nidd river data.

- 154 exceedances of the level $65 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ by the river Nidd (Yorkshire, England) during the period 1934-1969 (35 years).
- Widely used in extreme value studies *i.e.* Hosking, Wallis, Wood (1985) and Davison, Smith (1990).
- The N -year return level is the water level which is exceeded on average once in N years.



$$\hat{k}_n = 29, \\ \hat{\theta}_n^{ML} \simeq 0.89,$$

Estimation of the 100-year return level:

$$\hat{x}_{p_n}(\hat{\theta}_n^{ML}) = 366 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$$

QQ-plot

References

- L. Gardes & S. Girard. Estimation de quantiles extrêmes pour les lois queue de type Weibull : une synthèse bibliographique, *Journal de la Société Française de Statistique*, 154, 98–118, 2013.
- J. El Methni, L. Gardes, S. Girard & A. Guillou. Estimation of extreme quantiles from heavy and light tailed distributions, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 142(10), 2735–2747, 2012.
- L. Gardes, S. Girard & A. Guillou. Weibull tail-distributions revisited: a new look at some tail estimators, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 141(1), 429–444, 2011.
- J. Diebolt, L. Gardes, S. Girard & A. Guillou. Bias-reduced extreme quantiles estimators of Weibull-tail distributions, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 138, 1389–1401, 2008.
- L. Gardes & S. Girard. Estimation of the Weibull tail-coefficient with linear combination of upper order statistics, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 138, 1416–1427, 2008.

References

- J. Diebolt, L. Gardes, S. Girard & A. Guillou. Bias-reduced estimators of the Weibull tail-coefficient, *Test*, 17, 311–331, 2008.
- L. Gardes & S. Girard. Comparison of Weibull tail-coefficient estimators, *REVSTAT - Statistical Journal*, 4(2):163-188, 2006.
- L. Gardes & S. Girard. Estimating extreme quantiles of Weibull tail-distributions, *Communication in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 34, 1065-1080, 2005.
- S. Girard. A Hill type estimate of the Weibull tail-coefficient, *Communication in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 33(2), 205-234, 2004